

Ecotoxicological assessment of four pharmaceuticals compounds through acute toxicity tests

Avaliação ecotoxicológica de quatro diferentes fármacos usando testes de toxicidade aguda

Flávia Junqueira de Castro*

Dymes Rafael Alves dos Santos**

Caio Roberto Picolomini Buongermino**

Fernando Sanzi Cortez***

Camilo Dias Seabra Pereira****

Rodrigo Brasil Choeri****

Augusto Cesar****

51

Artigo Original • Original Paper
O Mundo da Saúde - 2014;38(1):51-55

Abstract

In this study, we evaluated acute toxicity of four different pharmaceutical compounds: 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2), fluoxetine, diclofenac and ibuprofen to *Daphnia similis*. The average values of EC50 were 1.63 mg/L to the 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2), 4.41 mg/L to the fluoxetine, 46.0 mg/L to the diclofenac and 97.0 mg/L to the ibuprofen. The effects of these drugs, in particular those caused to aquatic biota, still unknown especially at low concentrations in a range from ng/L up to mg/L.

Keywords: Estrogens. Serotonin Uptake Inhibitors. Anti-Inflammatory Agents. Analgesics. Toxicity.

Resumo

Este estudo avaliou a toxicidade aguda de quatro diferentes fármacos: 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2), fluoxetina, diclofenaco e ibuprofeno à *Daphnia similis*. Os valores médios de CE50 foram de 1,63 mg/L para 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2), 4,41 mg/L para fluoxetina, 46,0 mg/L para diclofenaco e 97,0 mg/L para ibuprofeno. Os efeitos desses fármacos, sobretudo à biota aquática, ainda são pouco conhecidos especialmente em baixas concentrações na ordem de ng/L a mg/L.

Palavras-chave: Estrogênios. Inibidores de Captação de Serotonina. Anti-Inflamatórios. Analgésicos. Toxicidade.

DOI: 10.15343/0104-7809.20143801051055

* Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares – IPEN, São Paulo-SP, Brasil. E-mail: flajunq@usp.br

** Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares – IPEN, São Paulo-SP, Brasil.

*** Universidade Santa Cecília, Santos-SP, Brasil.

**** Universidade Federal de São Paulo – UNIFESP, Santos-SP, Brasil.

Os autores declaram não haver conflitos de interesse.

INTRODUCTION

Since 1990, several works has been monitoring the presence of pharmaceutical compounds on environment matrices^{1,2}. These potentially toxic substances has often been found in effluents of sewage treatment plants, water supply and other environmental matrices such as soil, sediment and natural waters, in concentrations ranging from ng/L to mg/L². Human pharmaceuticals get in aquatic environments mainly via domestic use¹, either on their regular form (unused drugs disposed of down drains) or partially metabolized (excreted compounds)³. Recent research in different countries, has demonstrated the presence of multiple classes of pharmaceuticals, such as endocrine disrupting chemicals, psychiatric drugs and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in municipal wastewater^{4,5,6}. In Brazil, was reported by Stumpf² the presence of hormones and anti-inflammatory in sewage, treated wastewater and water from rivers in the state of Rio de Janeiro².

The 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2) is a synthetic female sex hormone, which is present in contraceptive pills, used both as birth control substance and in hormone replacement therapy⁷. Just in the EU, the production of this compound is around hundreds of kilograms per year⁵. Furthermore, this compound appears to be, extremely persistent in the environment⁵. Fluoxetine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor which is used as active ingredient in anti-depressant drugs widely prescribed currently⁸.

Diclofenac is used in human healthcare as an analgesic, antiarthritic and antirheumatic. This compound belongs to the group of the nonsteroidal drugs, which has been used worldwide. Ibuprofen is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory, which has been extensively used as an analgesic and antipyretic, also used in the treatment of rheumatic disorders, pain, and fever^{2,9}. Although the presence of pharmaceutical compounds in environmental matrices occurs only in trace concentrations, adverse effects can occur on non-target organisms once these substances were created to be effective even at low concentrations and to be resistant to degradation¹⁰.

Thus, human pharmaceuticals became to be identified and classified as emerging pollutants since it presents potential risk to aquatic ecosys-

tems. Therefore, environmental directives European and North American has sought regulate the approval process, marketing and disposal of these substances^{2,11}.

In view of this, USEPA has launched the 'Water Quality Research Multi-Year Plan' in which was emphasized the need of research to support regulation and development of data for regulatory purposes concerning emerging contaminants (pharmaceuticals, endocrine disrupting substances, personal care products, and nanomaterials)¹¹. So in the last decade, the effects of these emerging compounds on aquatic biota have been investigated through acute and chronic toxicity tests with different pelagic and benthic freshwater invertebrates, algae, and fishes^{10,12,13}.

Within this context, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the drugs: 17 α -ethinylestradiol, fluoxetine hydrochloride, diclofenac and ibuprofen using acute toxicity tests standardized with the cladoceran *Daphnia similis*. And thus, generate acute toxicity data to *D. similis* which can be used to contribute to the establishment of maximum environmentally safe concentration for these emerging compounds.

METHODS

Toxicity bioassays

The 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2) and the fluoxetine hydrochloride were exposed to test organisms *D. similis* in different concentrations (6.125; 12.5; 25; 50; 100% and 2.8, 3.4, 4.1, 5.0, 6.0, 7.1; 8.6 mg/L; respectively) and to negative control water. Simultaneously, were performed tests with controls solvent, DMSO to 17 α -ethinylestradiol and acetone for fluoxetine hydrochloride.

The diclofenac and ibuprofen were exposed to test organisms (*D. similis*) in different concentrations (10; 16; 25; 40; 65; 104 mg/L and 50; 80; 128; 205; 328; 500 mg/L, respectively) and to negative control of water. At the same time, were realized tests to negative control of Na₂CO₃ (ibuprofen diluents). Neonates were separated from stock cultures and placed individually at test tubes containing 10 mL of test medium. Four replicates were done for each treatment and negative controls. The test conditions followed the standardized method

NBR 12713/2004¹⁴. Acute bioassays were performed on a germination chamber set to 20 ± 1 °C, and the photoperiod was adjusted to 12h light/12h dark for the tests. After completion the assays were measured dissolved oxygen and pH.

Statistical analysis

The values to $EC_{50(48h)}$ (immobility) of 17 α -ethinylestradiol, fluoxetine, diclofenac and ibuprofen to *D. similis* was estimated by the *Trimmed Spearman-Kärber* method¹⁵, using nominal concentrations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study was observed that the survival of *D. similis* was affected by the treatment with the four pharmaceuticals tested. The means values for EC_{50} obtained to 17 α -ethinylestradiol and to fluoxetine were of 1.63 mg/L and 4.41 mg/L respectively. The means values for EC_{50} estimated for diclofenac and for ibuprofen were of 46.0 mg/L and 97.0 mg/L respectively.

Several studies have reported the potential risk that the pharmaceuticals offer to the aquatic organisms (Table 1).

Table 1. Mortality and immobility of *Daphnia similis* responses to treatments with: 17 α -ethinylestradiol, fluoxetine, diclofenac, ibuprofen, and their respective literature data

Compound	Taxon	Specie	Toxicological endpoint	Ecotoxicity data	Reference
17 α -Ethinylestradiol	Crustacean	<i>Daphnia similis</i>	$EC_{50(48h)}$	1630 μ g/L	Present work
17 α -Ethinylestradiol	Crustacean	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	NOEC _(21d) LOEC	500.0 μ g/L 1.0 μ g/L	16
17 α -Ethinylestradiol	Fish	<i>Fundulus heteroclitus</i>	LOEC _(14d)	0.05– 0.25 μ g/L	17
Fluoxetine	Crustacean	<i>Daphnia similis</i>	$EC_{50(48h)}$	4410 μ g/L	Present work
Fluoxetine	Crustacean	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	NOEC _(21d) LOEC	8.9 μ g/L 31.0 μ g/L	12
Fluoxetine	Mollusk	<i>Lampsilis siliquoidea</i>	$EC_{50(96h)}$	62.0 μ g/L	18
Diclofenac	Crustacean	<i>Daphnia similis</i>	$EC_{50(48h)}$	46000 μ g/L	Present work
Diclofenac	Crustacean	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	$EC_{50(48h)}$ NOEC _(21d)	67.0 μ g/L 10.0 μ g/L	19
Diclofenac	Fish	<i>Danio rerio</i>	NOEC	1131.0 μ g/L	20
Ibuprofen	Crustacean	<i>Daphnia similis</i>	$EC_{50(48h)}$	97000 μ g/L	Present work
Ibuprofen	Mollusk	<i>Phymorhynchus carinatus</i>	LC50 _(72h)	17.1 μ g/L	21
Ibuprofen	Fish	<i>Pelteobagrus fulvidraco</i>	$EC_{50(24h)}$	5.0 μ g/L	22

Previous studies realized with 17 α -ethinylestradiol have reported the presence of this compound in several environmental matrices, such as in groundwater and in surface water, at range of 0.8 ng/L to 30.0 ng/L^{8,23,24}. Although above the concentrations found in the environ-

ment the 17 α -ethinylestradiol proved to be capable of causing adverse effects to *D. similis*, as noted by the present study and for *D. magna*, as observed in the study realized by Clubbs¹⁶.

Fluoxetine has been detected in environmental matrices in different countries, as

in sewage treatment system in Norway and in groundwater in USA, reaching limits of 0.4 ng/L to 56.0 ng/L^{4,8}.

The results presented in Table 1, show that the concentrations observed on toxicity tests in different test organisms exposed to fluoxetine were higher than the values observed in environmental matrices by Kolpin⁸ in which the maximum concentration was 12.0 ng/L.

In terms of adverse effects of fluoxetine on reproduction of aquatic organisms, previous studies are contradictory: Fent⁵ reported that an exposure at 0.036 mg/L of fluoxetine reduced significantly *D. magna's* fertility. In contrast, other studies reported an increase on reproduction rate of aquatic invertebrates exposed to fluoxetine⁴. On the present study were reported adverse effects to *D. similis* survival's when exposed to concentrations of 4.41 mg/L of fluoxetine hydrochloride.

For the diclofenac, a study in Brazil observed a concentration of 60.0 ng/L of this compound in river Paraíba do Sul which is a water catchment location to Rio de Janeiro city². However in studies realized worldwide were identified maximum concentrations of diclofenac in environmental matrices above the values observed by Stumpf² in a range of 12.0 ng/L to 1.3 mg/L^{6,23,25}.

Although on study realized by Han²⁵ the chronic toxicity results indicate that the diclofe-

nac was able to cause a decrease in rate of fecundity to *D. magna*, as well as observed in the present study in which the survival of *D. similis* was also affected by this compound, these effects were observed in concentrations above those found in environmental matrices.

To ibuprofen, concentrations found in environment were quantified in a range of 10.0 ng/L to 20.0 mg/L^{2,6,8}. In the ibuprofen toxicity tests, performed with *D. similis* was reported average value for EC50 higher than the maximum values detected in the aquatic environment.

CONCLUSION

The acute toxicity tests with *Daphnia similis* showed an increased sensitivity to 17 α -ethinylestradiol, fluoxetine, ibuprofen and diclofenac respectively. Even presenting potential to cause adverse effects to aquatic biota, the results obtained to *D. similis*, presented means values to EC50 higher than the results reported by other studies for these same pharmaceuticals when found in environmental matrices. Thus, development of other studies still needed to assess potential toxic that these and others pharmaceuticals and their metabolites to might cause aquatic biota, with aiming to identify environmentally safe maximum concentrations that can guide future legislations.

REFERENCES

1. Daughton CG, Ternes AT. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: agents of subtle change? Environ Health Persp. 1999;107 Suppl 6:907-38. Erratum in: Environ Health Persp. 2000;108 Suppl 3:598.
2. Stumpf M, Ternes TA, Wilken RD, Rodrigues SV, Baumann W. Polar drug residues in sewage and natural waters in the state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Sci Total Environ. 1999;225(1-2):135-41. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(98\)00339-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00339-8)
3. Blasco J, DelValls A. Impact of Emergent Contaminants in the Environment: Environmental Risk Assessment. Handbook Environ Chem. 2008;5(1):169-88. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/698_5_107
4. Brooks BW, Foran CM, Richards SM, Weston J, Turner PK, Stanley JK, et al. Aquatic ecotoxicol fluoxetine. Toxicol Lett. 2003;142(3):169-83. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274\(03\)00066-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274(03)00066-3)
5. Fent K, Weston AA, Caminada D. Ecotoxicology of human pharmaceuticals. Aquat Toxicol. 2006;76(2):122-59. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.009>
6. Petrović M, Škrbić B, Živančev J, Ferrando-Climent L, Barcelo D. Determination of 81 pharmaceutical drugs by high performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry with hybrid triple quadrupole-linear ion trap in different types of water in Serbia. Sci Total Environ. 2014;468-469:415-28. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.08.079>
7. Reis Filho RW, Araújo JC, Vieira EM. Hormônios sexuais estrógenos: contaminantes bioativos. Quím Nova. 2006;29(4):817-22. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422006000400032>
8. Kolpin DW, Furlong ET, Meyer MT, Thurman EM, Zaugg SD, Barber LB, et al. Pharmaceuticals, Hormones, and Other Organic Wastewater Contaminants in U.S. streams, 1999-2000: A National Reconnaissance. Environ Sci Technol. 2002;36(6):1202-11. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es011055j>

9. Moldovan Z. Occurrences of pharmaceutical and personal care products as micropollutants in rivers from Romania. *Chemosphere*. 2006;64(11):1808-17. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.02.003>
10. Nentwig G. Another Example of Effects of Pharmaceuticals on Aquatic Invertebrates: Fluoxetine and Ciprofloxacin. In: Kümmerer K, editor. *Pharmaceuticals in the Environment*. Germany: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2008. p. 205-22. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74664-5_13
11. USEPA. Water Quality Research Multi-Year Plan 2009-2014. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development. Washington (DC): USEPA; 2009. (EPA/600/F-08/010)
12. Péry ARR, Gust M, Vollat B, Mons R, Ramil M, Fink G, et al. Fluoxetine effects assessment on the life cycle of aquatic invertebrates. *Chemosphere*. 2008;73(3):300-4. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.06.029>
13. Sanderson H, Johnson DJ, Reitsma T, Brain RA, Wilson CJ, Solomon KR. Ranking and prioritization of environmental risks of pharmaceuticals in surface waters. *Regul Toxicol Pharm*. 2004;39(2):158-83.
14. ABNT/CEET 00:001.044 – Comissão de Estudo Especial Temporária de Análises Ecotoxicológicas; NBR 12713 – Aquatic ecotoxicology – Acute toxicity – Test with *Daphnia spp* (Cladocera, Crustacea). 2009.
15. Hamilton MA, Russo RC, Thurston RV. Trimmed Spearman-Kärber method for estimating median lethal concentrations in toxicity bioassays. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1977;11(7):714-9. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es60130a004>
16. Clubbs RL, Brooks BW. *Daphnia magna* responses to a vertebrate estrogen receptor agonist and an antagonist: a multigenerational study. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 2007;67(3):385-98. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.01.009>
17. Doyle MA, Bosker T, Martyniuk CJ, MacLachy DL, Munkittrick KR. The effects of 17- α -ethinylestradiol (EE2) on molecular signaling cascades in mummichog (*Fundulus heteroclitus*). *Aquat Toxicol*. 2013;134-135:34-46. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.03.001>
18. Hazelton PD, Cope WG, Mosher S, Pandolfo TJ, Belden JB, Barnhart MC, et al. Fluoxetine alters adult freshwater mussel behavior and larval metamorphosis. *Sci Total Environ*. 2013;445-446:94-100. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.026>
19. Quinn B, Schmidt W, O'Rourke K, Hernan R. Effects of the pharmaceuticals gemfibrozil and diclofenac on biomarker expression in the zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) and their comparison with standardised toxicity tests. *Chemosphere*. 2011;84(5):657-63. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.03.033>
20. Memmert U, Peither A, Burri R, Weber K, Schmidt T, Sumpter JP, et al. Diclofenac: new data on chronic toxicity and bioconcentration in fish. *Environ Toxicol Chem*. 2013;32(2):442-52. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.2085>
21. Pounds N, Maclean S, Webley M, Pascoe D, Hutchinson T. Acute and chronic effects of ibuprofen in the mollusc *Planorbis carinatus* (Gastropoda: Planorbidae). *Ecotox Environ Saf*. 2008;70(1):47-52. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.07.003>
22. Wu X, Peng Y, Liao W, Li Y, Su T, Ou R, et al. Effects of Ibuprofen on the phase I metabolic enzymes and antioxidant defense system of the Yellow Catfish (*Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*). *Acta Sci Circums*. 2013;33(4):1208-14.
23. Nilsen EB, Rosenbauer RR, Furlong ET, Burkhardt MR, Werner SL, Greaser L, et al. Pharmaceuticals, personal care products and anthropogenic waste indicators detected in streambed sediments of the Lower Columbia River and selected tributaries. California: National Ground Water Association. 2007; Paper 4483. p. 15.
24. Tambosi JL, Yamanaka LY, José HJ, Moreira RFPM. Recent research data on the removal of pharmaceuticals from sewage treatment plants (STP). *Quím Nova*. 2010;33(2):411-20. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0100-40422010000200032>
25. Han GH, Hur HG, Kim SD. Ecotoxicological risk of pharmaceuticals from wastewater treatment plants in Korea: occurrence and toxicity to *Daphnia magna*. *Environ Toxicol Chem*. 2006;25(1):265-71. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1897/05-193r.1>