Impacts of Covid-19 on tuberculosis: an integrative review



¹Universidade Federal de Rondônia - UNIR. Porto Velho/RO, Brasil.

O MUNDO DA SAÚDE

²Programa de Pós-Graduação em Enfermagem em Saúde Pública, Escola de Enfermagem de Ribeirão Preto da Universidade de São Paulo - EERP/USP. Ribeirão Preto/SP, Brasil.

³Departamento de Saúde Coletiva da Escola Paulista de Enfermagem, Universidade Federal de São Paulo – Unifesp. São Paulo/SP, Brasil.

E-mail: rebeca.braga.rs@gmail.com

Graphical Abstract

REPERCUSSIONS OF COVID-19 ON TB PREVENTION AND CARE ACTIONS IN PRIMARY HEALTH CARE (PHC).

Epidemiological impacts of the pandemic on TB case detection and notification. Challenges in the diagnosis and treatment of TB cases

Underreporting of Tuberculosis (TB);

The reduction in TB notifications does not reflect an improvement in the situation, but rather the impact of the prioritization of the pandemic. Reallocation of professionals;

Closure of laboratories;

Shortage of supplies.

Proposed strategies to mitigate the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on TB care.

Simultaneous screening for TB and COVID-19 in vulnerable populations, prioritizing severe cases;

Strengthening counterreferral for follow-up in Primary Health Care (PHC);

Co-management of TB cases and territorial surveillance by PHC.



Abstract

The objective of this review was to identify and describe how the Covid-19 pandemic affected tuberculosis (TB) prevention and care actions within Primary Health Care (PHC). This is an integrative literature review conducted through the databases Embase, MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, and LILACS. Primary studies available in full, published between 2020 and 2023 in English, Portuguese, or Spanish, were considered. Review articles and publications belonging to the grey literature were excluded. The database search identified 339 publications. After meeting the previously established criteria, eleven articles were selected for full reading and analysis. It was found that the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic directly interfered with the goals and achievements obtained over the past decades in the fight against TB, in addition to increasing the vulnerabilities in TB prevention and care actions within PHC due to the scarcity of human and material resources that were redirected to meet the demands of Covid-19, which directly impacted unfavorable treatment outcomes for the disease. These results highlight the importance of reassessing strategies and implementing public policies that strengthen the response to TB control, while also pointing to the need for new studies on the changes in the epidemiological profile of the disease during the pandemic, as well as analyzing the strategies adopted by health services to ensure the continuity of TB care during this period.

Keywords: Covid-19. Tuberculosis. Primary Health Care. Public Health.

INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) has had a lasting and significant epidemiological impact on a global scale, having been the leading cause of death by a single infectious agent for decades, until it was surpassed by Covid-19. In 2022, it is estimated that 10.6 million people were affected by TB, resulting in approximately 1.3 million deaths, making it a major public health challenge, especially in developing countries such as Brazil¹.

The Covid-19 pandemic further exacerbated the vulnerabilities in TB control by redirecting resources and attention from health services to combat the new disease, which had a devastating impact both in Brazil and globally. This unprecedented health crisis, with millions of Covid-19 infections and deaths, also compromised the treatment of other diseases, such as TB¹.

However, even before the Covid-19 pandemic, TB management within this level of care already showed weaknesses concerning the principles of continuity and comprehensiveness of care. Among these were the reduced frequency of home visits focused on TB, which led to gaps in user engagement and hindered proper treatment follow-up^{2,3}. Additionally, the high turnover and scarcity of human and financial resources to support health surveillance activities, as well as the lack of materials and supplies for diagnostic testing, further hindered the fulfillment of PHC attributes⁴.

Due to the long-standing existence of TB, the importance of Primary Health Care (PHC) in prevention and care actions for people affected by this disease is emphasized, as it serves as the main entry point for users into the Unified Health System (SUS) and is responsible for coordinating care and organizing the Health Care Network (HCN)³.

Thus, PHC must establish a bond with the community, involving the family throughout the care process, as well as evaluating contacts, considering the susceptibility of the transmission chain and the influence of their participation in addressing the disease and ensuring adherence to treatment³⁻⁶.

This scenario highlights shortcomings in the diagnosis and treatment of TB, which were exacerbated by the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic, imposing even more challenges due to the overburdened health system and compromising the achievements made over the past decades in TB control, such as the 18% reduction in notifications worldwide in 2020¹.

In this context, it is also necessary to con-



sider the risk of TB/Covid-19 coinfection, as the difficulties in diagnosing and treating TB were intensified, leading to underdiagnosis and, consequently, underreporting, which could impact TB incidence and mortality rates⁷.

The challenges imposed by Covid-19 and its repercussions on TB prevention and care actions underscore the need for research on this topic, especially within PHC, considering that financial resource investment during the pandemic was primarily directed toward hospital and high-complexity care, with a particular focus on private health units⁸. Therefore, the objective of this review was to identify and describe how the Covid-19 pandemic affected TB prevention and care actions within PHC, according to national and international scientific literature.

METHODOLOGY

This is an integrative literature review with a descriptive analysis of the results obtained. The choice of this methodological format is justified by its ability to provide an understanding of how the Covid-19 pandemic affected TB prevention and control actions within PHC, through the inclusion of different types of primary studies, such as descriptive, cross-sectional, and qualitative studies, which broadens the knowledge of this scenario in various global contexts⁹.

Accordingly, this review was conducted through six steps: formulation of the guiding question, selection of databases, establishment of inclusion and exclusion criteria, database search, analysis of studies for selection based on eligibility criteria, and synthesis of the results found⁹.

To formulate the guiding question, "How did the Covid-19 pandemic affect TB prevention and care actions within PHC?", the PICo strategy was used, following the recommendations of The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI)¹⁰, where P (problem) corresponded to the pandemic caused by Covid-19, I (intervention) to TB prevention and care actions, and Co (context) to PHC.

The search terms for this review were obtained through Health Sciences Descriptors (DeCS) in English, Portuguese, and Spanish, as well as Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) and Embase Subject Heading (Emtree) in English, including their respective synonyms, which were combined using the boolean operators AND and OR.

The literature search was conducted in February 2024 by an independent researcher, accessing the journal portal of the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) through remote access via the Federated Academic Community (CAFe). The databases used were: Embase, Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), Scopus, Web of Science, and the Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature (LILACS) accessed through the Virtual Health Library.

The search expression used in the databases for this literature review was formulated using the following descriptors and their respective synonyms: "Covid-19," "Tuberculosis," and "Primary Health Care," in Portuguese, English, and Spanish for LILACS, and in English for the other databases. Based on the combination of the descriptors with the boolean operators "AND" and "OR," the following expression was formulated: "Covid-19" AND "Tuberculosis" AND "Primary Health Care."

As inclusion criteria, primary studies available in full, published between 2020 and 2024 in English, Portuguese, or Spanish, were considered. Review articles and publications belonging to the grey literature, such as monographs, theses, and dissertations, were excluded.

The results were exported to the Rayyan QCRI platform¹¹ for duplicate verification and subsequently selected through the reading and analysis of titles and abstracts by two indepen-



_ dent researchers, considering the eligibility criterion, that is, how the Covid-19 pandemic affected TB prevention and care actions within PHC. Conflicts were resolved by a third evaluator. The results were presented in a matrix summarizing the included studies, detailing the authors, year and country of publication, objective, type of study, and main results, which were obtained through data extraction.

RESULTS

A total of 339 publications were found in the databases, of which 121 were excluded for being duplicates, 32 for being review articles, 51 for addressing levels of care other than PHC, 99 for covering other topics (Covid-19 without association with TB, diagnostic tests for other diseases, prostate cancer, influenza, Covid-19 vaccine acceptance, percutaneous coronary intervention, HIV, diabetes mellitus, Pneumocystis pneumonia, and chronic respiratory disease), 21 for being incomplete, and 4 for being grey literature, leaving 11 articles for full reading (Figure 1).



Source: Adapted from Aromataris & Munn (2020).

Figure 1 - Flowchart of the stages of article selection for this integrative literature review. Porto Velho, Rondônia, 2024.



Among the articles included in the study, most were published in 2023¹²⁻¹⁵ in countries with a high TB burden, such as Brazil, South Africa, and India¹⁴⁻²². The studies were predominantly cross-sectional^{13,16-17,20,22} (Table 1).

and care actions within PHC, the studies highlighted the repercussions related to TB laboratory diagnosis actions^{13-15,17,19,20-22}, treatment^{13,17-18}, follow-up¹⁶⁻¹⁷, human resources in health services¹⁸, and actions to mitigate the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic on the TB epidemiological scenario¹⁹ (Table 1).

of the Covid-19 pandemic on TB prevention the

Regarding the main results on the impact

Table 1 - Summary matrix of the articles selected for this integrative literature review, Porto Velho, Rondônia,2024.

Authors/year	Country of publication	Objective	Type of study	Main results
Fei <i>et al.</i> , 2020	China	To analyze the reporting, follow-up examinations, and treatment outcomes of TB cases during the Covid-19 pandemic.	Cross-sectional	TB DIAGNOSIS AND REPORTING
				Reduction in the number of reported TB cases in 2020. Decrease in the percentages of confirmed laboratory tests and in the percentages of migrant cases. Shortage of TB laboratory reagents and anti- TB drugs during the pandemic.
				TB MONITORING
				Reduction in the percentage of TB patients who underwent sputum testing within one week after two months of treatment and after completing the full course of treatment. Increase in the number of TB patients who postponed or missed their follow-up examinations. Increased difficulty in accessing healthcare facilities due to intermunicipal travel restrictions and fear of contracting Covid-19 among users.
				TB TREATMENT RESULTS Increase in recovery delays compared to previous years.
Sharma, Khokhar, 2021	India	To study the burden, risk factors, and perceptions of Covid-19 among TB patients.	Cross-sectional	TB DIAGNOSIS Lack of requests for diagnostic tests for both diseases.
				TB TREATMENT Delay in the initiation of TB treatment.
				TB MONITORING Increase in TB severity and complications.

to be continued...





... continuation table 1

Authors/year	Country of publication	Objective	Type of study	Main results
Yadav <i>et al.</i> , 2021	India	To summarize the current evidence, predictive mod- els, and recommendations regarding the impact of Covid-19 on various as- pects of TB treatment.	Descriptive	TB TREATMENT Reduction in the supply of anti-tuberculosis drugs. Reduction in patient demand for treatment. Reduction in patient follow-up during treatment. Reduction in home delivery of medications and performance of DOT. Increase in the difficulty of starting treatment. HUMAN RESOURCES IN HEALTH SERVICES Deployment of frontline healthcare professionals to Covid-19 field tasks, reducing TB control efforts.
Manhiça <i>et al.,</i> 2022	Mozambique	To inform decision-mak- ing and efficiently mitigate the adverse impacts of Covid-19 in Mozambique and other similar settings.	Descriptive	ACTIONS TO MITIGATE THE IMPACTS OF COVID-19 ON THE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SCENARIO OF THE DISEASE AND TB FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS Telephone follow-up (to monitor medication adherence, side effects, and provide counseling). Hiring of professionals. Long-term prescriptions for anti-TB medications and spacing of in-person consultations to reduce outpatient visits, as a way to lower costs for patients, minimize overcrowding in health units, and reduce unnecessary contact between healthcare professionals. EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ASPECTS Disruptions in healthcare delivery, which were more prominent among men than women in the three quarters following the first Covid-19 case. Reduction in the incidence of all forms of TB among men.
Mutyambizi <i>et al.</i> , 2022	South Africa	To document the outcomes of an innovative approach to combining workflows for TB and Covid-19.	Descriptive	SIMULTANEOUS CASE DETECTION The model enabled the simultaneous detection of Covid-19 and TB cases based on the presentation of signs and symptoms. Increase in TB test requests during the rise in Covid-19 cases.
Souza <i>et al.</i> , 2022	Brazil	To evaluate the influence of the Covid-19 pandemic on TB laboratory diagnosis in patients tested and diag- nosed with TB.	Cross-sectional	LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS OF TB Increase in the total number of patients tested for TB after the first Covid-19 case in March. Reduction in the total number of patients tested for TB in the months following the start of the pandemic. Reduction in the positivity rate in 2020.

to be continued...



DOI: 10.15343/0104-7809.202448e16232024I

... continuation table 1

Authors/year	Country of publication	Objective	Type of study	Main results
Calixto; Pantoja; 2023	Peru	To determine the charac- teristics and frequency of tuberculosis patients over 18 years old, pre-pan- demic (2019) and during the Covid-19 pandemic (2020), at a health center in Lima, Peru.	Descriptive, retrospective study	TB REPORTING There was a reduction in the number of TB case notifications, resulting in more undetected and untreated cases. TB OUTCOMES There was an increase in TB mortality rates during the pandemic, possibly due to loss of treatment follow-up or higher coinfection rates with HIV and diabetes.
Williams <i>et al.</i> 2023	Eswatini	To describe the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on TB services and the different approaches adopted by healthcare professionals to ensure the continuity of TB service delivery in Eswatini.	Cross-sectional	<section-header>REPORTING REPORTING There was a reduction in TB case notifications during the pandemic compared to previous pealthcare services and the reallocation of resources in response to Covid-19. The disruption of active TB case notification activities contributed to this reduction. DURING NOSIS During the peak of the pandemic, TB diagnostic services were affected due to the prioritization of Covid-19 over other conditions. Measures to init the spread of Covid-19 hindered patients' access to healthcare services for diagnosis, either through the suspension or limitation of these actions, resulting in a reduction in the number of TB cases reported and in the capacity to process and analyze samples for diagnosis. REATMENT The disruption of TB services due to the primitation of these actions, resulting in a reduction in the number of TB cases reported and in the capacity to process and analyze samples for diagnosis. LIDENDENE The disruption of TB services due to the formation of treatment and follow-up care. Available human and clinical resources were reassigned to provide Covid-19-related services, further reducing TB treatment capacity. DUING the pandemic, there was an increase in mortality rates among TB patients, along with a higher likelihood of unfavorable outcomes. DUING the pandemic contributed to to infavorable outcomes among TB patients, including an increase in mortality rates and a reduction in the quality of care.</section-header>

to be continued...



... continuation table 1

Authors/year	Country of publication	Objective	Type of study	Main results
				TB DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT
Heunis <i>et al.</i> , 2023	South Africa	To address the need for evidence on the impact of Covid-19 on essential health services in the Free State province.	Descriptive, retrospective study	The disruption of screening, treatment, and support activities due to the reallocation of human and physical resources in response to Covid-19 led to a reduction in case notifications, delays in diagnosis, and delays in starting treatment for the disease.
				REPORTING
Dlangalala, Musekiwa, Mashamba- Thompson, 2023.	South Africa	To evaluate the effects of Covid-19 on TB diagnostic services within PHC, aiming to optimize these services for health crises such as Covid-19.	Descriptive, retrospective study	There was a reduction in TB case notifications during the pandemic, especially during infection peaks, although some countries managed a recovery in the following months as a result of government efforts or the easing of Covid-19-related restrictions .
				DIAGNOSIS
				During the Covid-19 pandemic, TB investigation and case confirmation services in eThekwini were affected, with a reduction in case investigation and notification. After the first peak of the pandemic, there was a substantial increase in TB cases, but diagnostic confirmation remained in decline until the end of the observation period.
Huria <i>et al.</i> , 2024	Indonesia	To examine the disruptions caused by the Covid-19 pandemic in TB services within private healthcare in three countries — India, Indonesia, and Nigeria.	Cross-sectional	TB DIAGNOSIS
				During the pandemic, individuals preferred to seek care at private community healthcare facilities (formal or informal), where diagnostic capacity is limited, leading to greater delays in starting treatment.

DISCUSSION

Epidemiological impacts of the pandemic on TB case detection and reporting

With the advent of the Covid-19 pandemic as a persistent public health issue for over two years, there has been growing awareness that it would be crucial to revitalize efforts to control TB and other neglected diseases, considering that the worsening of these overlooked conditions would have negative repercussions on public health in the future¹⁹.

Regarding epidemiological aspects, studies highlight that reported cases among men and migrants decreased, while healthcare disruptions at the onset of the pandemic were more prominent among men compared to women, even though men are among the most affected populations^{13,16,19}. These factors suggest that cases are not decreasing but rather being underdiagnosed and underreported. In other words, the reduction in TB-related numbers does not signify an actual improvement in this situation, but rather that there is less and less control over the true magnitude of the disease. The overwhelming focus on the Covid-19 pandemic became so dominant that TB was further neglected, disregarding the fact that it is as lethal as Covid-19 if not properly treated^{12,20}.



Challenges in the diagnosis and treatment of TB cases

Regarding TB screening, it was observed that there was no prioritization of case management during the Covid-19 pandemic, adding further challenges to addressing the disease. During this period, laboratory and healthcare professionals who worked with TB were reassigned to focus on Covid-19, and, worsening this scenario, many were sidelined for being in high-risk groups²⁰. As a result, some TB outpatient clinics and laboratories were temporarily closed during the intensive phase, and among those that remained open, shortages of reagents and supplies for testing were reported^{14,16}.

In this context, a study conducted in Indonesia found that users preferred to seek care at private community health facilities, both formal and informal. This preference was driven by concerns about exposure to the Covid-19 virus in public facilities, which are often more crowded and pose a higher risk of contamination. However, this shift may raise additional concerns, such as inaccurate diagnoses and treatment delays due to the limited diagnostic capacity and possible lack of regulation and quality control in these facilities²².

This scenario had a significant impact on TB diagnosis, considering that in the years prior to the pandemic, the number of reported TB cases was increasing. However, the focus on controlling Covid-19 diverted attention from other existing diseases, leading to a reduction in requests for TB diagnostic tests, resulting in underdiagnosis of the disease^{15,18-20}.

In addition to laboratory issues, research indicated difficulties in accessing healthcare facilities due to intermunicipal travel restrictions and limitations on the movement of people in all environments, as well as transportation costs, considering that the period itself imposed even greater economic challenges on the population, with an increase in unemployment rates, for example¹⁶. These factors also impacted case follow-up, leading to a reduction in monthly control sputum tests during treatment¹⁸⁻²⁰, along with barriers such as the discontinuation of DOT, medication shortages, difficulties in tracking missing patients, and delays in treatment initiation, partly due to the fear of contracting Covid-19^{16,18}.

Proposed strategies to mitigate the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic on TB care

Although this study highlights the challenges faced in PHC during the pandemic, these observations not only allow but make it essential to focus on planning and implementing actions aimed at mitigating the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic on the TB epidemiological scenario, such as early case investigation among vulnerable populations, also targeting dual investigation when patients present with symptoms common to both diseases^{17,19,21}. Among these actions is screening that includes tests for both diseases, given the similarity of signs and symptoms, prioritizing the most severe cases for care and immediate initiation of TB treatment once identified^{17,19,21}.

However, it was found that when tests for Covid-19 were requested, TB investigation was not conducted in most cases, despite the possibility of coinfection, even in cases with HIV positivity, which is a crucial aspect for early TB detection and deserves greater attention^{17,19,21}. Thus, although the method used proved effective for patients already undergoing TB follow-up, the test requests did not include the search for new cases. Additionally, it is possible that patients presenting with TB symptoms were mistakenly diagnosed and treated as Covid-19 cases, which may contribute to delays in diagnosis amid a public health emergency scenario^{17,19,21}.

Although this study focuses on TB prevention and care actions within PHC, the consequences of problems in other levels of care within an interconnected service network are a reflection of what occurs in this context. Even if the user initially sought he-



althcare services at other levels of care, it is crucial to have counter-referral so that they can also be followed up by PHC, aiming for co-management of cases and surveillance in the territories. This is important because one of PHC's attributes is to establish a bond with the community to ensure comprehensive and continuous care^{18,20}.

CONCLUSION

The onset of the Covid-19 pandemic had a substantial impact on the reduction of TB case notifications, which cannot be attributed to an actual improvement in indicators, but rather to the fact that the focus on pandemic control directly interfered with the achievement of the goals and accomplishments obtained over the past decades in combating TB, amid the overburdening of healthcare services during this atypical period.

The consequence of this scenario was an increase in the fragility of TB prevention and care actions within PHC, due to the scarcity of human and material resources that were redirected to meet the demands of Covid-19. Additionally, strategies for screening respiratory symptomatic individuals, TB diagnosis, and treatment were either reduced or not implemented, which may ultimately affect treatment outcomes.

In this context, it is crucial to emphasize the importance of conducting studies on the changes in the epidemiological profile of TB during the Covid-19 pandemic, as well as analyzing the strategies adopted by health services to ensure continuity of care for people affected by TB during this period. This should include screening, concurrent investigation for both diseases given their similarity in signs and symptoms and the identification of contacts.

Moreover, it is essential to reinforce the importance of this analysis given the growing global concern about the resilience of health systems in pandemic contexts, considering the possibility of other crises emerging. These lessons can inform decision-making regarding the organization of the healthcare network for managing diseases and conditions, including TB, in routine healthcare services, contributing to the continuity of actions even in adverse scenarios.

However, it is important to note that the Covid-19 pandemic is a recent event, which may present a challenge in assessing its long-term impacts on TB prevention and care actions within PHC. The available studies may have limited their analyses to immediate effects, which also represents a limitation of the present investigation.

CRediT author statement

Conceptualization: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Methodology: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Validation: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Statistical analysis: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Formal analysis: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Investigation: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Resources: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Writing-original draft preparation: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Writing-review and editing: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Visualization: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Supervision: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH. Project administration: Braga, RS; Ferreira, MRL; Orfão, NH.

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Global Tuberculosis Report 2022. Geneva: WHO; 2022. Disponível em: https://reliefweb.int/attachments/249c65c8-2557-49fe-90fa-3988006eb7fe/9789240061729-eng.pdf

2. Mendes, EV. A construção social da atenção primária à saúde. Brasília-DF: Conselho Nacional de Secretários de Saúde - CONASS; 2015. Disponível em: https://www.conass.org.br/biblioteca/pdf/A-CONSTR-SOC-ATEN-PRIM-SAUDE.pdf

3. Braga RS, Siqueira TC, Silva VM, Orfão NH. Enfoque na família sobre tuberculose sob a ótica dos agentes comunitários de saúde. Physis: Revista de Saúde Coletiva, 2021;31(1): e310134. doi: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-73312021310134

4. Furtado I, Aguiar A, Duarte R. Getting back on the road towards tuberculosis elimination: lessons learnt from the COVID-19



pandemic. J Bras Pneumol. 2021;47(2):e20210123. doi: https://doi.org/10.36416/1806-3756/e20210123

5. Magnabosco GT, Orfão NH, Brunello MEF, Wysocki AD, Lopes LM, Campoy LT. Novas doenças e ameaças antigas: a repercussão da COVID-19 no manejo da tuberculose. Saúde Coletiva (Barueri), 2020;10(54), 2639-2644. doi: https://doi.org/10.36489/ saudecoletiva.2020v10i54p2639-2644

6. Ferreira MRL, Santos AA, Orfão NH. O vínculo no tratamento da tuberculose na atenção primária à saúde: uma revisão integrativa. Revista Brasileira em Promoção da Saúde, 2019;32. doi: https://doi.org/10.5020/18061230.2019.9540

7. Costa AGR, Cavalcanti CER, Nunes IML, Pimenta TS, Pereira M do SV. General Analysis of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis and its repercussion on the Covid-19 pandemic: A Literature Review. RSD, 2022;11(4):e8111426758. doi: https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v11i4.26758

8. Hino P, Yamamoto TT, Magnabosco GT, Bertolozzi MR, Taminato M. Impacto da COVID-19 no controle e reorganização da atenção à tuberculose. Acta Paul Enferm 2021;34:eAPE002115. doi: https://doi.org/10.37689/acta-ape/2021AR02115

9. Aromataris E, Munn Z. JBI Manual para Síntese de Evidências. JBI; 2020. Disponível em: https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/ MANUAL/4687404/About+this+Manual

10. The Joanna Briggs Institute. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. The Joanna Briggs Institute; 2020. doi: https://jbi-global-wiki.refined. site/space/MANUAL

11. Ouzzani MH, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan - a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews. 2016; 5(210). doi: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4

12. Calixto EF, Pantoja S. LR. Características e frequência da tuberculose antes e durante a pandemia de COVID-19 em adultos atendidos em um centro de atenção primária, Lima-Peru, 2019-2020. Horiz Med [Internet]. 2023;23(1):e2146. doi: https://doi. org/10.24265/horizmed.2023.v23n1.06

13.Williams V, Vos-Seda AG, Calnan M, Lindiwe Mdluli-Dlamini, Samson Haumba, Grobbee DE, et al. Serviços de tuberculose durante a pandemia da COVID-19: Um estudo qualitativo sobre o impacto da COVID-19 e práticas para prestação contínua de serviços em Eswatini. Saúde pública na prática. 2023;1;6:100405-5. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.puhip.2023.100405

14. Heunis C, Chikobvu P, Muteba M et al. Impacto da COVID-19 sobre serviços de saúde pública essenciais selecionados – lições aprendidas a partir de uma revisão retrospectiva de registros no Estado Livre, África do Sul. BMC Health Serv Res. 2023; 23:1244. doi: https://doi.org/10.31005/iajmh.v3i0.140

15. Dlangalala T, Musekiwa A, Mashamba-Thompson T. Impacto da COVID-19 nos serviços de diagnóstico de TB em clínicas de atenção primária no distrito de eThekwini, África do Sul. Sci Rep. 2023;13:16645. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-43589-7

16. Fei H, Yinyin X, Hui C, Ni W, Xin D, Wei C, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on tuberculosis control in China. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2020;3:100032. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2020.100032

17. Sharma P, Khokhar A. Risk factors and perceptions about coronavirus disease among tuberculosis patients in Delhi, India: A cross-sectional study. Indian J Tuberc. 2022;69(4):655-662. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.ijtb.2021.10.006

18. Yadav, P, Vohra, C, Gopalakrishnan, M, Garg, MK. Integrating health planning and primary care infrastructure for COVID-19 and tuberculosis care in India: challenges and opportunities. Int J Health Plann Mgmt. 2022;37(2): 632- 642. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3393

19. Manhiça I, Augusto O, Sherr K, Cowan J, Cuco RM, Agostinho S, et al. COVID-19-related healthcare impacts: an uncontrolled, segmented time-series analysis of tuberculosis diagnosis services in Mozambique, 2017-2020. BMJ Glob Health. 2022 Apr;7(4):e007878. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007878

20. Souza MQ, Pizzol JLD, Silva ABS, Vargas FFGR, Brião DS, Martinato CA, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on laboratory diagnosis of tuberculosis in southern Brazil. Rev. epidemiol. controle infecç. 2022;12(2): 61-68. doi: https://doi.org/10.17058/reci. v12i2.17191

21. Mutyambizi C, Wilkinson L, Rees K, Moosa S, Boyles T. Outcomes of a model integrating tuberculosis testing into COVID-19 services in South Africa. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med. 2022;14(1):e1-e4. doi: https://doi.org/10.4102%2Fphcfm.v14i1.3709

22. Huria L, Lestari BW, Saptiningrum E, Fikri AR, Oga-Omenka C, Kafi MAH, et al. Care pathways of individuals with tuberculosis before and during the Covid-19 pandemic in Bandung, Indonesia. PLOS global public health. 2024;2;4(1):e0002251–1. doi: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002251.

Received: 15 may 2024. Accepted: 26 september 2024. Published: 29 october 2024.

