Acute blood glucose responses on the second day of recovery from moderate vs. high intensity resistance exercise in women with type 2 diabetes



¹ Universidade Federal do Vale do São Francisco – UNIVASF. Petrolina/PE, Brasil. E-mail: sergio.moreira@univasf.edu.br

MUNDO DA

Abstract

Resistance exercise (RE) can lower blood glucose in people with type 2 diabetes (T2D). However, greater clarity is needed regarding the RE intensity and time required for this acute response. Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare acute blood glucose responses on the second day of recovery from moderate vs. high-intensity RE in women with T2D. Twelve women with T2D (55.2 ± 4.0 years; 70.1 ± 11.4 kg; and 155.7 ± 3.3 cm) performed two experimental sessions seven days apart in a randomized order. For session 1: RE40% of one-repetition maximum test (1RM) and session 2: RE80%1RM, with 16 and 8 repetitions for each set, respectively, in 7 exercises with 3 circuits during 40min. Blood glucose was monitored for over 35h (first day: 24h and second day: 11h) every 5 minutes after each experimental session by the Continuous Glucose Monitoring System (*Guardian REAL-Time model*). *Student's t*-test showed no significant difference in blood glucose on the second day (11h) after RE40%1RM vs. RE80%1RM sessions [respectively, 161.3 \pm 62.3 mg.dL⁻¹ vs. 157.2 \pm 41.9 mg.dL⁻¹; t (11) = 0.259; p = 0.800]. *Two-way* ANOVA for repeated measures showed that blood glucose responses every hour during recovery on the second day showed no significant differences between RE sessions [F (1.731, 19.039) = 0.688; p = 0.734]. We concluded that the acute blood glucose responses on the second day of moderate and high intensity RE did not differ among women with T2D.

Keywords: Resistance exercise. Diabetes. Blood glucose control.

INTRODUCTION

Sixteen million Brazilians have been diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, with Brazil holding the fifth position in the world ranking in relation to the number of cases¹. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus is 23% in Brazilians of older ages and females are at greater risk².

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) represents 90% of all cases and is considered a chronic disease from defects in post-receptors of the insulin pathway, which causes decreased insulin sensitivity¹. T2D is characterized by metabolic changes with

high blood glucose levels and maintenance of the hyperglycemic state³⁻⁴. Chronic hyperglycemia can cause cellular apoptosis and lesions in organs and target tissues⁵. Hyperglycemia has been associated with arterial stiffness⁶, endothelial dysfunction followed by arteriosclerosis⁷, and cardiovascular diseases, especially in women^{8,9}, who are affected most by hospitalizations and have a larger risk of mortality resulting from diabetes mellitus¹⁰.

Physical exercise has been strongly recom-

DOI: 10.15343/0104-7809.202246348356I





mended as an effective tool in the blood glucose control of subjects with T2D3¹¹⁻¹⁷. Evidence suggests that a single bout of aerobic^{14,18} and resistance exercise (RE)¹⁹⁻²² can promote blood glucose control within a period of up to 24 hours. However, the relationship of the RE intensity with the acute effects on the blood glucose control of patients with T2D is still conflicting, due to the duration and magnitude of the responses from the low, moderate, or high-intensity RE, as well as due to the external validity of the results obtained (purely laboratory vs. real-life conditions)¹⁸⁻²².

Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, no evidence of the acute effect of different RE intensities has been found for changes in blood glucose response for more than 24 hours in subjects with T2D. Such results could contribute to both the scientific community and patients with T2D who may benefit from the acute effects of

METODOLOGY

Experimental Design

The design of this study included experimental sessions completed during two weeks of intervention. Blood glucose responses were analyzed for a period of 35 hours after performing RE sessions (40%1RM and 80%1RM) in a randomized order. For the first day (Day 1), the RE40%1RM or RE80%1RM tests were performed. On the second day (Day 2), the prolonged effect (11h) of the RE session in different intensities on the blood glucose concentration was analyzed as the aim of this study. For the analysis of blood glucose responses, the continuous glucose monitoring system was adopted.

Participants

Twelve postmenopausal women with T2D took part in the study according to pre-defined participation criteria, as follows: i) female; ii) diagnosed with T2D; iii) clinically stable, and; iv) age between 40 and 60 years. Exclusion crite-

high-intensity RE, which may still be guestionable concerning relevant glycemic control^{20,22}. In addition, the high-intensity RE could contribute in the medium and long-terms (training effect) to patients with T2D¹⁶, who show a greater decline in muscle mass, muscle strength, and functional capacity with aging²³. Thus, in order to clarify the understanding of late acute RE responses, the aim of this study was to compare acute blood glucose responses on the second day of recovery from moderate- vs. high-intensity RE in women with T2D. Although previous studies have demonstrated a distinction between the effects of moderate- vs. high-intensity RE on blood glucose concentrations in the first 24 hours^{19,20}, the hypothesis of the present study is that on the second day these differences will cease to exist, where the high-intensity RE session also starts to offer glycemic control for women with DM2.

ria considered: i) use of exogenous insulin; ii) morbid obesity (body mass index >40 kg.m⁻²); iii) decompensated blood glucose; iv) abnormalities on the electrocardiogram at rest with acute cardiac ischemia; v) heart disease, diabetic retinopathy proliferative or severe autonomic neuropathy; vi) upper or lower limb amputation; vii) present uncontrolled hypertension (systolic >160 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure >100 mmHg); viii) diabetic nephropathy (albuminuria ≥ 14 mg/L or ≥ 30 mg / 24h); ix) chronic kidney failure; x) exercise performance limitations due to joint/bone/skeletal muscle injury and; xi) smokers. This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Studies and Research from the Federal University of Vale do São Francisco (No. 0005/180814). Furthermore, this study is registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (Nº. NCT02645448). The research was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The general characteristics of all participants are shown in Table 1.





Table 1 – Mean \pm standard deviation of descriptivecharacteristics of the participants (n = 12). Petrolina,Pernambuco, Brazil, 2018.

General characteristics			
Age (years)	55.2 ± 4.0		
Body mass (kg)	70.1 ± 11.4		
Height (cm)	155.7 ± 3.3		
Body mass index (kg.m ²⁽⁻¹⁾)	29.0 ± 5.4		
Fat percentage (%)	30.4 ± 5.9		
Physical active level (min.wk ⁻¹)	120.2 ± 22.3		
T2D diagnosis (years)	5.7 ± 3.7		
Medication (n)			
Metformin	7 (58.4%)		
Sulfonylureas	1 (8.3%)		
Metformin and Sulfonylureas	3 (25.0%)		
Diet only	1 (8.3%)		
One-repetition maximal test (1RM)			
Bench press (kg)	26.7 ± 6.6		
Leg extension (kg)	51.3 ± 15.1		
Peck deck (kg)	19.8 ± 5.3		
Leg curl (kg)	49.2 ± 11.7		
Lat pull down (kg)	33.3 ± 7.5		
Leg press (kg)	62.5 ± 18.3		
Seated row (kg)	37.7 ± 8.3		

Diet, Physical Activity, and Medication Control

All the participants received instructions in relation to food intake and the practice of daily physical activities in agreement with previous studies in our laboratory^{19,20}. During the intervention, the participants receive a standardized breakfast with 285 kcal (45g carbohydrate, 6g proteins, and 9g fat). The participants were instructed to maintain the same diet during the two-week period of intervention and record for 35 hours their nutritional intake in a food diary following the previous procedures^{19,20} and using the Brazilian Table of Food Composition²⁷. The main meals (breakfast - 7:00 a.m. / 7:20 a.m., lunch - 12:00 p.m. / 02:00 p.m., and dinner - 06:00 p.m. / 8:00 p.m.) showed no significant differences between the experimental sessions (Table 2).

Procedures

Baseline Assessments

The participants performed a resting electrocardiogram to be able to start in experimental study procedures. All participants performed anamnesis about their historic health, physical exercise level²⁴, and anthropometric assessments with waist circumference, height, and body mass, and body mass index²⁵ and body fat percentage were also calculated²⁶.

All participants underwent a previous familiarization with the exercise protocol during three alternate days in accordance with previous studies^{19,20}. After 48 hours, the 1RM test was performed (bench press, leg extension, peck deck, leg curl, lateral pull down, leg press, and seated row) in Evidence[®] (Cachoeirinha / RS – Brazil) and Physicus[®] equipment (Auriflama / SP – Brazil)²⁰.

Continuous Glucose Monitoring System (CGMS)

The CGMS Guardian REAL-Time model (Minimed Medtronic, Inc., Northridge, CA, USA), validated by previous studies^{28,29}, was installed in the participants to perform the test session (CONT40%1RM and CONT80%1RM). The glucose sensor (Sof-SensorTM) was inserted into the participant in agreement with previous studies19,20 and according to factory instructions (Minimed Medtronic, Inc., Northridge, CA, USA). The participants were blinded to CGMS evaluations, which occurred every 5 minutes during the 35-hour intervention period (24h for the first day and 11h for second day). To analyze the results of the CGMS, data were exported and the signals were converted from the portable monitor (Guardian REAL-Time) to an online





program (CareLink; MedTronic)²⁹.

Study Procedures

351

A schematic overview of the study is shown in Figure 1. The blood glucose concentrations were analyzed in two experimental sessions, in which the same were separated into seven days and in a randomized order: Day 1: RE40%1RM and Day 2: prolonged effect of the RE session at 40%1RM), or Day 1: RE80%1RM and Day 2: prolonged effect of the RE session at 80%1RM. All procedures including the preintervention (Rest), intervention (RE sessions), and post-intervention occurred in accordance with previous studies in our laboratory^{19,20}.



Figure 1 – Schematic overview of the study design. RE: Resistance Exercise; CGMS: Continuous Glucose Monitoring System.

Statistical Analyses

Data were presented as mean and standard deviation. The data normality was confirmed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The blood glucose concentration values were used to determine the response throughout the post-experimental session period. Paired *Student t-test* was carried out to test the possible differences between the experimental conditions of Day 2 RE40%1RM vs. Day 2 RE80%1RM or an unpaired t-test to analyze Day 1 RE80%1RM

vs. Day 2 RE80%1RM. Analysis of variance ANOVA *two-way* for repeated measures was carried out to test the possible differences on the second day between the experimental conditions (RE40%1RM vs. RE80%1RM) every hour for a period of 11 hours. The Bonferroni *Post-hoc* was used when the value of 'F' was considered significant for the identification of pairs of differences. The significance level was considered as p < 0.05. All analyses were performed using the SPSS software for Windows v. 22.0.

RESULTS

The general characteristics, use of medication, physical activity level, and strength performance of the participants are shown in Table 1. The daily food records showed no difference when comparing the experimental sessions (Table 2). Also, the blood glucose concentrations in the pre-intervention period of experimental sessions showed no significant difference with fasting glucose levels (Table 2).





Table 2 – Mean \pm standard deviation of habitual energy intake (24 hours), and glucose concentrations before and after breakfast (n = 12). Petrolina, Pernambuco, Brazil, 2018.

	RE40%1RM	RE80%1RM	P value
Food intake diary		•	
Fat (g.day ⁻¹)	39.1 ± 16.4	36.5 ± 13.8	0.669
% intake	22.1 ± 8.2	22.2 ± 7.6	0.987
Carbohydrate (g.day ⁻¹)	236.2 ± 63.0	209.1 ± 38.9	0.219
% intake	59.1 ± 8.0	56.8 ± 5.9	0.431
Protein (g.day-1)	67.3 ± 21.4	65.3 ± 24.6	0.839
% intake	16.7 ± 2.9	17.4 ± 4.6	0.657
Energy intake (kJ.day-1)	6688.7 ± 1565.2	6184.0 ± 1094.6	0.370
Glucose concentration*			
Fasting glucose (mg.dL ⁻¹)	144.5 ± 34.5	148.1 ± 35.0	0.702
Rest glucose (mg.dL ⁻¹)	232.1 ± 14.8	234.5 ± 9.8	0.470

Source: research data. P value obtained by paired Student t-test. RE40%1RM and RE80%1RM = Resistance exercise at 40% and 80% of one-repetition maximum test; Fasting glucose and Rest glucose = Mean blood glucose concentrations before the breakfast at 7:00 a.m. and pre-intervention after the breakfast between 8:00 a.m. and 8:20 a.m.

Figure 2 shows the kinetics of the blood glucose concentration during different experimental sessions. The hyperglycemia level, which corresponded to blood glucose concentrations above 160 mg.dL⁻¹, was highlighted in both sessions and showed controlled values for RE80%1RM in this late period (second day) when compared to the first day of the same session (Day 1 RE80%1RM = $195.0 \pm 17.0 \text{ mg.dL}^{-1} \text{ vs. Day 2 RE80%1RM} = 157.2 \pm 9.4 \text{ mg.dL}^{-1}; [t (392) = 23.777; p < 0.0001]).$



Figure 2 – Mean blood glucose concentrations (n = 12) throughout the 35-hour after resistance exercise period at 40% one-repetition maximum test (40%1RM) and 80%1RM. *Vertical dashed lines* indicate the time of lunch (12:00 a.m.), dinner (07:00 p.m.), and breakfast (07:00 a.m.). The hyperglycemia level¹, which corresponded to blood glucose concentrations above 160 mg.dL⁻¹ was indicated by a *horizontal dashed line*. Unpaired *Student t-test* showed blood glucose control to second day of high-intensity RE (Day 1 RE80%1RM = 195.0 ± 17.0 mg.dL⁻¹ vs. Day 2 RE80%1RM = 157.2 ± 9.4 mg.dL⁻¹; [t (392) = 23.777; p < 0.0001]).





Figure 3A shows the blood glucose concentration in entire of the second day in the RE40%1RM and RE80%1RM sessions in which there was no significant difference [t (11) = 0.259; p = 0.800] between sessions. Figure



Figure 3 – Mean \pm standard deviation of blood glucose concentration for 11 hours (A) and every hour (B) of evaluation on the second day in each RE session (n = 12). Paired *Student t-test* (A) and ANOVA *two-way* for repeated measures (B).

3B shows the mean blood glucose concentration (second day) at each hour during the 11h analysis in the two sessions, in which there was no interaction time*session [F (1.731, 19.039) = 0.688; p = 0.734].

DISCUSSION

The objective of the present study was to compare acute blood glucose responses on the second day of recovery from moderate- vs. high-intensity RE in women with T2D. The main finding was that the blood glucose concentration during this later and prolonged period of 11 hours of daily life (second day) in both RE40%1RM and RE80%1RM sessions was not different (Figures 3A and 3B). Furthermore, curiously, blood glucose control occurred after the high-intensity RE (Figure 2).

In previous studies in our laboratory when comparing moderate-intensity RE (43%1RM) with the light RE (23%1RM), the light RE was more effective in the blood glucose control of patients with T2D in short recovery period (2 hours) and within a laboratory environment²². Besides, more recently it was possible to analyze in T2D individuals the acute effect of different intensities of RE by comparing moderate (40%1RM) and high-intensity RE (80%1RM) during a period of 24

hours^{19,20}. Important blood glucose control occurred in the first 24h of the RE40%1RM session, which could not be verified in RE80%1RM and control sessions²⁰. The mechanistic pathway discussed for non-glycemic control at 24 hours after high-intensity RE may be based on increased endogenous glucose production due to adrenergic stimulation and sympathetic activation^{13,30,31}. Interestingly, the findings of the present study seem to suggest that the sleep period after RE80%1RM (after ~18 hours) has some importance since glucose concentration begins to decrease thereafter (Figure 2) and is maintained controlled and paired with the RE40%1RM session in the subsequent 11h and up to the 35h evaluation (Figure 2 and 3A-B). It is speculated that during the day after RE80%1RM (awake phase) the blood glucose production in patients with T2D has exceeded the uptake by muscle via an increased sympathetic drive to the liver³². On the other hand, when these patients went through their sleep period the reestablished neurophysiological setpoint occurred due to adjustments promoted by the suprachiasmatic nucleus³². As a result, rebalancing of glucose concentrations in the body may occur as presented after RE sessions performed in the present study (Figures 2 and 3A-B).





From a clinical point of view, these results are important since hyperglycemia can be more related to arterial stiffness⁶ and atherosclerotic disease development^{5,7} which increases the risk for cardiovascular events in T2D women⁹. In addition, the results strengthen the possibility of prescribing high-intensity RE sessions for the blood glucose control of T2D individuals. Considering that the sample was in a previous hyperglycemic state and T2D patients had blood glucose control only between 25h and 35h of the RE80%1RM session, we suggest that, in a previously hyperglycemic condition, low-intensity RE sessions should be performed in order to obtain normoglycemia state as we have shown in a previous study²⁰. On the other hand, in the normoglycemia state, a T2D patient could already initiate a high-intensity RE session. This, in addition to maintaining the blood glucose maintenance in the subsequent 24 hours²⁰, could now promote neuromuscular and cardiovascular benefits (functional and structural) with the frequent accomplishment of these sessions^{3,8,12,16}. Over time it would attenuate risk factors associated with disease and aging²³.

Although in the present study it is not possible to demonstrate such results, it is important to highlight a possible increased glucose uptake after the RE80%1RM session. Koopman et al.³³ found an improvement in insulin sensitivity in healthy men in the 24h following high-intensity RE (75%1RM). Fenicchia et al.²¹ investigating high-intensity RE in women with T2D found an improvement in the integrated blood glucose concentration. However, in agreement with the aforementioned studies, even with the possible increased blood glucose uptake in the RE80%1RM session due to the greater requirement of intensity in the effort^{21,33}, it is also highlighted the possible excessive blood glucose endogenous production during the day^{13,30,31} and especially in the ~18 hours after high-intensity RE³². On the other hand, Gordon et al.34 found no improvement in insulin sensitivity in T2D patients from 24 to 78 hours after performing moderate to high-intensity RE (45%, 60%, and 75%1RM). These results are influenced by the protocol chosen, which recommended only exercises for lower limbs. The methodology of the present study involved the main muscle groups for both upper and lower limbs²⁰.

The practical application of these results may be useful for T2D individuals with blood glucose concentration within normal limits before an exercise session. It is recommended to perform a single RE session with three circuits (each circuit with 8 repetitions in seven exercises to upper and lower limbs) at 80%1RM intensity. The recovery period between circuits should be 120 seconds and between exercises should be 90 seconds in which the participant should change the exercise, performing them in an alternating fashion (preferably). This intervention may be effective in lowering blood glucose concentration between 25h and 35h after the RE session in T2D women with a previous hyperglycemic state. However, we suggest this exercise intensity, especially for the patient with T2D in normoglycemia state, who would be maintaining the blood glucose control in the subsequent 24 hours²⁰ and 35 hours (present study) after high-intensity RE, who would also benefit from additional neuromuscular gains (functional and structural) with the frequent accomplishment of these sessions. Finally, although this high RE intensity is considered secure in terms of cardiovascular and endocrine stress when the subjects are clinically controlled (controlled blood glucose and controlled blood pressure), a previous medical screening including an orthopedic, cardiovascular, and metabolic evaluation is strongly recommended.

One limitation of the present study was the lack of standardization in food intake during the remaining of the day, since this study only standardized the breakfast for the participant with T2D during the experimental sessions. On the other hand, there is the external validity of our results, since the participants with T2D maintained their daily dietary routines, as shown in the statistical analysis of the participants' food records during the experimental sessions (Table 2).



CONCLUSION

We concluded that there is no difference in the blood glucose concentration of postmenopausal women with T2D on the second day of recovery of moderate- and high-intensity RE (between 25 and 35 hours). Furthermore, the blood glucose control in women with T2D also occurred after late recovery from the high-intensity RE.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: The authors thank FACEPE proc. PBPG-1453-4.09/12 for funding scholarships and CNPq proc. 470593/2013-0 (Research support foundation of the Brazil).

FUNDING: FACEPE proc. PBPG-1453-4.09/12 funded scholarships and CNPq proc. 470593/2013-0 (Research support foundation of the Brazil).

Author statement CRediT

Conceptualization: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Methodology: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Validation: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Moreira SR. Statistical Analysis: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Formal Analysis: Moreira SR. Investigation: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Resources: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Resources: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Resources: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Resources: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Moreira SR. Writing-Original Draft Preparation: Cruz LC; Teixeira-Araujo AA; Andrade KTP; Barros LKS; Vale TS; Augusto SS; Moreira SR. Visualization: Moreira SR. Supervision: Cruz LC; Moreira SR. Project administration: Cruz LC.

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th Edition. Brussels, Belgium: 2021; accessed 2022Aug.21; International diabetes federation. Available from: https://diabetesatlas.org/idfawp/resource-files/2021/07/IDF_Atlas_10th_Edition_2021.pdf

2. BRASIL. Department of Surveillance of Noncommunicable Diseases and Diseases and Health Promotion, Secretariat of Health Surveillance, Ministry of Health VIGITEL BRASIL 2018: surveillance of risk and protective factors for chronic diseases by telephone survey [electronic resource]. Brasília: 2019, Distrito Federal.

3. Melo KCB, de Souza Araújo F, Júnior CCMC, de Andrade KTP, Moreira SR. Pilates method training: functional and blood glucose responses of ol

der women with type 2 diabetes: 10.1519/JSC.00000000002704. J Strength Cond Res [internet]. 2020; accessed 2022Aug.21; 34(4):1001-1007. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Abstract/2020/04000/Pilates_Method_Training_Functional_and_Blood.17.aspx

4. Van Dijk JWM, Manders RJF, Hartgens F, Stehouwer CD, Praet SFE, Van Loon LJC. Postprandial hyperglycemia is highly prevalent throughout the day in T2D patients: 10.1016/j.diabres.2011.03.021. Diabetes Res Clin Pract [internet]. 2011; accessed 2022Aug.21; 93(1):31–37. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168822711001409

5. Feng B, Cao Y, Chen S, Ruiz M, Chakrabarti S. Reprint of: miRNA-1 regulates endothelin-1 in diabetes: 10.1016/j.lfs.2014.10.001. Life Sci [internet]. 2014; accessed 2022Aug.21; 118(2):275-280. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0024320514008017

6. Monteiro CI, Simões RP, Goulart CL, Silva CDD, Borghi-Silva A, Mendes RG. Arterial stiffness in type 2 diabetes: determinants and indication of a discriminative value: 10.6061/clinics/2021/e2172. Clinics [internet]. 2021; accessed 2022Set.14; 76:e2172. Available from: https://www.scielo.br/j/clin/a/3XKtsWZppLnLtCVvMSZNPpz/

7. Ceriello A. Postprandial hyperglycemia and diabetes complications: Is it time to treat?: 10.2337/diabetes.54.1.1. Diabetes [internet]. 2004; accessed 2022Aug.21; 54(1):1-7. Available from: https://diabetesjournals.org/diabetes/article/54/1/1/14626/Postprandial-Hyperglycemia-and-Diabetes

8. Andrade IYTP, Melo KCB, de Andrade KTP, Almeida LG, Moreira SR. Pilates training reduces blood pressure in older women with type 2 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2022.02.022. J Bodyw Mov Ther [internet]. 2022; accessed 2022Aug.21; 30, 168-175. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1360859222000389

9. Cavalot F, Petrelli A, Traversa M, Bonomo K, Fiora E, Conti M, et al. Postprandial blood glucose is a stronger predictor of cardiovascular events than fasting blood glucose in T2D mellitus, particularly in women: lessons from the San Luigi Gonzaga Diabetes Study: 10.1210/jc.2005-1005. J Clin Endocrinol Metab [internet]. 2006; accessed 2022Aug.21; 91(3):813–819. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article/91/3/813/2843304

10. Roche MM, Wang PP. Sex differences in all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, hospitalization for individuals with and without diabetes, and patients with diabetes diagnosed early and late1: 10.2337/dc12-1272. Diabetes Care. 2013; accessed 2022Aug.21; 36(9):2582–2590. Available from: https://diabetesjournals.org/care/article/36/9/2582/37900/Sex-Differences-in-All-Cause-and-Cardiovascular

11. Figueira FR, Umpierre D, Casali KR, Tetelbom PS, Henn NT, Ribeiro JP, et al. Aerobic and combined exercise sessions reduce glucose variability in T2D: crossover randomized trial: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057733. Plos One [internet]. 2013; accessed 2022Aug.21; 8(3):e57733. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0057733

12. Kumar AS, Maiya AG, Shastry BA, Vaishali K, Ravishankar N, Hazari A, et al. Exercise and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis: 10.1016/j.rehab.2018.11.001. Ann Phys Rehabil Med [internet]. 2019; accessed 2022Aug.21; 62(2); 98-103. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877065718314830



13. Richter EA, Hargreaves M. Exercise, glut4, and skeletal muscle glucose uptake: 10.1152/physrev.00038.2012. Physiol Rev [internet]. 2013; accessed 2022Aug.21; 93(3):993–1017. Available from: https://journals.physiology.org/doi/full/10.1152/physrev.00038.2012

14. Van Dijk JW, Manders RJ, Canfora EE, Mechelen WV, Hartgens F, Stehouwer CD, et al. Exercise and 24-h glycemic control: equal effects for all T2D patients?: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31827ad8b4. Med Sci Sports Exerc [internet]. 2013; accessed 2022Aug.21; 45(4):628–635. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/2013/04000/Exercise_and_24_h_Glycemic_Control_Equal_Effects.4.aspx

15. Van Dijk JW, Manders RJ, Tummers K, Bonomi AG, Stehouwer CD, Hartgens F, et al. Both resistance- and endurance-type exercise reduce the prevalence of hyperglycaemia in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance and in insulin treated and non-insulin-treated T2D patients: 10.1007/s00125-011-2380-5. Diabetologia [internet]. 2012; accessed 2022Aug.21; 55(5):1273–1282. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00125-011-2380-5

16. Melo KCB. dos Santos GA, de Souza Araujo F. Moreira SR. Detraining period of the PILATES method on functional capacity of elderly women with type 2 diabetes: A randomized clinical trial: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2022.03.012. J Bodyw Mov Ther [internet]. 2022; accessed 2022Aug.21; 31, 77-83. Available from: https://www.bodyworkmovementtherapies.com/article/S1360-8592(22)00052-3/fulltext

17. Van Dijk JW, Venema M, Mechelen WV, Stehouwer CDA, Hartgens F, van Loon LJC. Effect of moderate-intensity exercise versus activities of daily living on 24-hour blood glucose homeostasis in male patients with T2D: 10.2337/dc12-2620. Diabetes Care [internet]. 2013; accessed 2022Aug.21; 36(11):3448–3453. Available from: https://diabetesjournals.org/care/article/36/11/3448/37983/Effect-of-Moderate-Intensity-Exercise-Versus

18. Bacchi E, Negri C, Trombetta M, Zanolin ME, Lanza M, Bonora E, et al. Differences in the Acute Effects of Aerobic and Resistance Exercise in Subjects with T2D: Results from the RAED2 Randomized Trial: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049937. Plos One [internet]. 2012; accessed 2022Aug.21; 7(12):e49937. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0049937

19. Cruz LC, Teixeira-Araujo AA, Andrade KTP, Rocha TCG, Moreira SR. Low intensity resistance exercise attenuates the relationship between glucose and autonomic nervous system indicators during 24 hours in women with T2D: 10.1016/j.scispo.2017.10.004. Sci Sports [internet]. 2018; accessed 2022Aug.21; 33(2):e75-e83. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0765159717302083

20. Cruz LC, Teixeira-Araujo AA, Andrade KTP, Rocha TCOG, Puga GM, Moreira SR. Low-intensity resistance exercise reduces hyperglycemia and enhances glucose control over a 24-hour period in women with T2D: 10.1519/JSC.00000000002410. J Strength Cond Res [internet]. 2019; accessed 2022Aug.21; 33(10):2826-2835. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Abstract/2019/10000/Low_Intensity_Resistance_Exercise_Reduces.27.aspx

21. Fenicchia LM, Kanaley JA, Azevedo Jr JL, Miller CS, Weinstock RS, Carhart RL, et al. Influence of Resistance Exercise Training on Glucose Control in Women With T2D: 10.1016/j.metabol.2003.10.007. Metabolism [internet]. 2004; accessed 2022Aug.21; 53(3):284–289. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0026049503004992

22. Moreira SR, Simões GC, Moraes JF, Motta DF, Campbell CS, Simões HG. Blood glucose control for individuals with T2D: acute effects of resistance exercise of lower cardiovascular-metabolic stress: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318242a609. J Strength Cond Res [internet]. 2012; accessed 2022Aug.21; 26:2806–2811. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Fulltext/2012/10000/Blood_Glucose_Control_for_Individuals_with_Type_2.26.aspx

23. Leenders M, Verdijk LB, Van der Hoeven L, Adam JJ, Van Kranenburg J, Nilwik R, et al. Patients with T2D show a greater decline in muscle mass, muscle strength, and functional capacity with aging: 10.1016/j.jamda.2013.02.006. J Am Med Dir Assoc [internet]. 2013; accessed 2022Aug.21; 14(8):585–592. Available from: www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1525861013000960

24. Matsudo S, Araújo T, Matsudo V, Andrade D, Andrade E, Oliveira LC, Braggion G. Questinário internacional de atividade f1sica (IPAQ): estudo de validade e reprodutibilidade no Brasil: 10.12820/rbafs.v.6n2p5-18. Rev. Bras. Ativ. Fís. Saúde [internet]. 2001; accessed 2022Set.14; 6(2):05–18. Available from: https://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/portal/resource/pt/lil-314655

25. Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 1988.

26. Rech CR, Santos DL, Silva JCN. Desenvolvimento e validação de equações antropométricas para predição da gordura corporal em mulheres entre 50 e 75 anos de idade. Rev Bras Cineantropom Desempenho Hum [internet]. 2006; accessed 2022Aug.21; 8(1):5–13. Available from: pesquisa.bvsalud.org/ripsa/resource/pt/lil-473023

27.Center for Studies and Research in Food - NEPA. Brazilian Table of Food Composition – TACO/UNICAMP (4th ed.) [electronic book]. Campinas, Brazil: NEPAUNICAMP. 2011. accessed 2022Aug.21. Available from: https://www.nepa.unicamp.br/taco/contar/taco_4_edicao_ ampliada_e_revisada.pdf?arquivo=1

28. Meade LT. The use of continuous glucose monitoring in patients with T2D: 10.1089/dia.2011.0086. Diab Tech Therap [internet]. 2012; accessed 2022Aug.21; 14 (2):190–195. Available from: https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/dia.2011.0086

29. Terada T, Loehr S, Guigard E, McCargar LJ, Bell GJ, Senior P, et al. Test-retest reliability of a continuous glucose monitoring system in individuals with T2D: 10.1089/dia.2013.0355. Diab Tech Therap [internet]. 2014; accessed 2022Aug.21; 16(8):491–498. Available from: https:// www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/dia.2013.0355

30. Brooks GA, Faheym TD, White TP. Exercise Physiology: Human Bioenergetics and its Applications. 2^a ed. Mountain View: Mayfield, 1995. 31. Kjaer M, Hollenbeck CB, Frey-Hewitt B, Galbo H, Haskell W, Reaven GM. Glucoregulation and hormonal responses to maximal exercise in noninsulin-dependent diabetes: 10.1152/jappl.1990.68.5.2067. J Appl Physiol [internet]. 1990; accessed 2022Aug.21; 68(5):2067–2074. Available from: https://journals.physiology.org/doi/abs/10.1152/jappl.1990.68.5.2067.

32. Buijs RM, Ruiz MAG, Hernández RM, Cortés BR. The suprachiasmatic nucleus; a responsive clock regulating homeostasis by daily changing the setpoints of physiological parameters: 10.1016/j.autneu.2019.02.001. Auton Neurosci [internet]. 2019; accessed 2022Aug.21; 218:43-50. Available from: www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1566070218302832

33. Koopman R, Manders RJ, Zorenc AH, Hul GB, Kuipers H, Keizer HA, et al. A single session of resistance exercise enhances insulin sensitivity for at least 24 h in healthy men:10.1007/s00421-004-1307-y. Eur J Appl Physiol [internet]. 2005; accessed 2022Aug.21; 94(1-2):180–187. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00421-004-1307-y

34. Gordon BA, Fraser SF, Bird SR, Benson AC. Insulin sensitivity not modulated 24 to 78 h after acute resistance exercise in T2D patients: 10.1111/ dom.12057. Diabetes Obes Metab [internet]. 2013; accessed 2022Aug.21; 15(5):478–480. Available from: https://dom-pubs.onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/abs/10.1111/dom.12057

Submitted:18 march 2022.

Approved: 29 september 2022. Published: 23 november 2022.

MUNDO D

